Not all biofuels are equal

 Not all biofuels perform equally well in terms of their impact on climate change, energy security and on ecosystems.
Environmental and social impacts need to be assessed throughout the entire life-cycle.

Bioenergy is part of the energy mix

modern bioenergy can help increase access to energy
the actual mix depends on the country context

Putting biofuels into perspective

sound policies and planning global trends, including population growth, yield improvements, changing diet patterns and climate change



Water: a limiting factor

Water qualityWater consumption

Reducing pressures

Improving the production of biomass (yield increases; restoring formerly degraded land)

Using biomass more efficiently (waste and residues; combined systems)

Land: a limiting factor

 4F: Food, Feed, Fuel and Fibre
Land conversion can lead to negative environmental impacts including on biodiversity, GHG balance and food security

Land - a limiting factor

- Conservative estimates of projected land use for biofuel crops vary between 35- 166 Mha for 2020. Ravindranath et al (2009) estimated that 118-508 Mha would be required in 2030 to provide 10% of transport fuel demand with 1st gen biofuels.
- Estimates of long-term potential land requirements for biofuels vary widely and depend on the basic assumptions made - mainly type of feedstock, geographical location and level of input and yield increase.
- There is little truly 'unused land'; hence biofuel development may come with land use change, both direct and indirect.
- Land use change has a range of potential implications, including on GHG balance, biodiversity and ecosystem services, and on land tenure and food security.





The drive to meet the demand for palm oil is resulting in conversion of forested areas into palm oil plantations.

These satellite images reveal how a combination of transmigration, logging interests, and palm oil plantation development have transformed an area that was previously tropical lowland rain and swamp forest.

Source: UNEP Atlas One Planet - Many People

Water - a limiting factor

- Water is already a scarce resource. By 2025, 2/3 of the global population will be living in areas experiencing water stress. Agriculture is consuming approx. 70% of global freshwater supplies.
- Water quality is alarming. Nutrient loads in freshwater bodies from agricultural runoff, household waste and effluents from industry have resulted in eutrophication and hypoxia in some regions.
- Expanding and intensifying bioenergy production add to existing pressures, depending on regions, climatic conditions, and choices in feedstock, production methods, conversion technologies and end product.
- Extreme weather events due to climate change may increase uncertainty.
- Addressing potential environmental (ecosystem services), social (access to water) and economic (GDP) implications is critical.



UN Energy, Bioenergy Decision Support Tool



WHERE?

Determining a country's potential for bioenergy production must **build upon an assessment of the suitability and availability of land resources**.

- conduct a land suitability assessment to identify land that holds promise for feedstock production and map suitability and potential yield across the country
- identify and map areas of special sensitivity, i.e. 'high risk areas' in terms of potential damage to vital ecosystem functions
- identify and map existing agricultural production areas; assess the likely expansion path for food production over the short to medium term
- overlay infrastructure information to evaluate market accessibility and the economic feasibility of feedstock production
- conduct 'ground-truthing' in areas that have been identified as areas having potential for feedstock production, to complement the top down approach by involving local communities and other relevant stakeholders



mapping and zoning

Building blocks for a solid mapping methodology

The level of detail (i.e. scale and accuracy) for each variable matters; and the optimal data is a decision between availability and cost.

- Data collection may present a particular challenge for developing countries.
- Data gaps have been identified, particularly regarding biodiversity (only information on PAs designated under the CBD and Wetlands under the Ramsar Convention is easily accessible).
- In areas of special ecological sensitivity and concerns for food security appropriate mitigation measures and good practices that safeguard these areas and food security are a conditio sine qua non. The burden of proof is high; in doubt the area should be classified 'exclusion zone'/'no go area'.

Land Suitability

- Agro-climatic: Water Balance
 - vvater Balance
 - Temperature
- Edaphic: Topography (altitude and slope) Soils
- Climate change outlook/ adaptation

Land availability

- Environmental screening/ sensitivity areas
- **PA**
- Ecosystem services
- o Wildlife
- o HCV
- LCV/degraded land
- Land cover
- Social
- Cultural / medicinal use areas
- Current land use / Food/Fodder
- o Urban
- Conflict
- Archeological
- Land tenure

Infrastructure / logistics

some mitigation options

- waste and residue use with caution applied to sustainable levels of residue extraction (soil quality; forest management);
- use of marginal and recovery of degraded lands the definition matters as some of these lands are actually in use (pastoralists, subsistence farmers, wildlife corridors, filtration of water), and trade offs with input levels need to be considered;
- yield improvements in many developing countries yields are way below the potential; this is due to limited capacity and access to modern technologies (extension services; investments);
- combined food and energy systems for example, intercropping can help improve food security;
- reducing demand for energy improved energy efficiency but also changing production and consumption patterns.

